United States of America

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

٧.	,	Cara Na	5:16-CR-176	L1FI		
GERALD SIMMON	S )	Case No.	3.10-OK-170	-11 -		
Defendant	)					
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL						
After conducting a detention require that the defendant be detained	_	eform Act, 18 U	U.S.C. § 3142	(f), I conclude that these facts		
	Part I—Findi	O				
$\Box$ (1) The defendant is charged with	h an offense described in	18 U.S.C. § 31	42(f)(1) and h	as previously been convicted		
of $\square$ a federal offense [	☐ a state or local offense	that would hav	e been a feder	ral offense if federal		
jurisdiction had existed -	that is					
	defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 erm is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4)or an	offense listed	in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)		
$\Box$ an offense for which	the maximum sentence is	death or life in	nprisonment.			
☐ an offense for which a	a maximum prison term o	f ten years or m	nore is prescril	ped in		
				.*		
a felony committed at described in 18 U.S.C	fter the defendant had bee C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or					
□ any felony that is not	a crime of violence but in	nvolves:				
☐ a minor victim						
☐ the possession or	use of a firearm or destru	ctive device or	any other dan	gerous weapon		
☐ a failure to registe	er under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	)				
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.					
$\Box$ (3) A period of less than five	years has elapsed since th	e □ date of	conviction	☐ the defendant's release		
from prison for the offense	e described in finding (1).					
	Findings Nos. $(1)$ , $(2)$ and $(3)$ establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.					
	Alternative F	indings (A)				
$\Box$ (1) There is probable cause to	believe that the defenda	nt has committe	ed an offense			
☐ for which a maximum	n prison term of ten years	or more is pres	cribed in			
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 92	4(c).					

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

		The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estathe defendant's appearance and the safety of the co		will reasonably assure		
Alternative Findings (B)						
<b>Y</b> (	1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	ppear.			
	2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will enda	nger the safety of another person or the	e community.		
Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention  I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by   ✓ clear and						
conv	incing Based	evidence  a preponderance of the evidence the don the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention posed which would reasonably assure the defendant's a	nat hearing, there is no condition or combinati			
	assure	the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combine the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another posterior of the charges.  The apparent strength of the government's case. The indication of substance abuse. The defendant's criminal history.  Other:				
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention						
pend orde	correct ling ap r of Un	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Atto tions facility separate, to the extent practicable, fro peal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable aited States Court or on request of an attorney for the er the defendant to the United States marshal for a contract of the states.	m persons awaiting or serving sentence opportunity to consult privately with Government, the person in charge of the	es or held in custody defense counsel. On		
Date	: Aug	gust 12, 2016	Robert T Nu.  Judge's signature	mheist		

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title